

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/439,655	11/12/1999	BRIAN D. MORRISON	1843	1910
7:	590 12/03/2003	EXAMINER		
	C GENERAL COUNS	REDDICK, MARIE L		
BOX 6500	TARCH AND CHEMICA	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BRIDGEWATER, NJ 088070500			1713	2 2
			DATE MAILED: 12/03/2003	20

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			C	
	Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)	
Off: 4 (* O	09/439,655		MORRISON ET A	L
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Judy M. Reddi		1713	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cov	er sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, he reply within the statutory idod will apply and will explication the application.	owever, may a reply be tim minimum of thirty (30) days ire SIX (6) MONTHS from t on to become ABANDONEC	ely filed will be considered timel the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	1 November 2003.			
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ The	nis action is non-fi	nal.		
Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition of the condition is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condi				e merits is
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-11 and 13</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 4-11 and 13</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consid			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	accepted or b) 🗌 o	bjected to by the E	xaminer.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be he	eld in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note t	he attached Office	Action or form P	O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome reference was included in the first sentence or	ents have been re ents have been re riority documents eau (PCT Rule 17 list of the certified estic priority under first sentence of t provisional applica	ceived. ceived in Application have been received. (2(a)). copies not received 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) the specification or ation has been received 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No d in this National d. e) (to a provisional in an Application eived. and/or 121 since	I application) Data Sheet. a specific
Attachment(s)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5)	Interview Summary (Notice of Informal Pa Other:		

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/439,655	MORRISON ET	MORRISON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Judy M. Reddick	1713					
The MAILING DATE of this communi Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence	address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communified the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30). - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howey unication. y) days, a reply within the statutory mini tutory period will apply and will expire S will, by statute, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely filed imum of thirty (30) days will be considered tin SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nely. s communication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>14 November 2003</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL .	b)⊠ This action is non-final	ı .					
3) Since this application is in condition							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-11 and 13</u> is/are pendin 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-11 and 13</u> is/are rejecte 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>8</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restrice	e withdrawn from considera						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	•	ected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any object							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the	attached Office Action or form	PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of the priority of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office action of the since a specific reference was included 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign land 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for reference was included in the first sent of the foreign land of the foreign land of the foreign land of the foreign land of the first sent of the first	documents have been received documents have been received for the priority documents han all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2) on for a list of the certified coor domestic priority under 35 d in the first sentence of the guage provisional application domestic priority under 35 d of the domestic priority under 35 d of the domestic priority under 35 d	ived. ived in Application No ive been received in this Nation (a)). pies not received. 5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provision specification or in an Application on has been received. 5 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since	nal application) on Data Sheet. ce a specific				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P' 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Page 1	TO-948) 5) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper N Notice of Informal Patent Application (F Other:					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/439,655

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed in this application after appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, but prior to a decision on the appeal. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the appeal has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114 and prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 14, 2003 has been entered.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 8 @ line 4, "of at about" should read "of about" and @ line 5, ""dg/min" should be inserted after "1,000".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 4-11 and 13 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Kosaka et al(U.S. 3,944,695, as applied to claims 1, 4-9 and 11) or Liedermooy et al(U.S. 5,500,472, as applied to claims 1, 4-11 and 13) as

Application/Control Number: 09/439,655

Art Unit: 1713

per reasons stated in the previous Office Action per paper no. 12, 02/12/03, paragraph no. 8. Further, as to claims 1, 10 & 13, Kosaka et al teach 10 to 60 wt.% of at least one tackifier selected from the group consisting of terpene resins, phenol resins, etc.(the Abstract, which clearly meets the limitations per claim 1 b)) and Liedermooy et al teach 25 to 55 wt.% of a terpene phenolic tackifying resin or a hydrogenated derivative thereof(clearly meeting the limitations per claims 1 b), 10 and 13); Even if it turns out that the claims are not anticipated by Kosaka et al or Liedermooy et al, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to extrapolate the precisely defined hot-melt adhesive composition, as claimed, from each of Kosaka et al and Liedermooy et al as per such having been within the purview of the general disclosures of each of Kosaka et al and Liedermooy et al and with a reasonable expectation of success.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 11/14/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Relative to Kosaka et al---As to the melt index of the ethylene-vinyl acetate copolymer, Counsel's argument is not deemed persuasive because whether the presence is optional or not, preferred or not, its presence is still disclosed. The disclosure of a composition of matter in a reference may be anticipatory even though the reference indicates that the composition is not preferred or even that it is unsatisfactory for the intended purpose. In re Nehrenberg 126 USPQ 383.

Similarly, all disclosures of the prior art, including unpreferred or auxiliary embodiments, must be considered in determining obviousness. In re Mills, 176 USPQ 196; In re Lamberti, 192 USPQ 278; In re Boe, 148 USPQ 507.

A reference is available for all that it teaches, including disclosures that teach away from invention as well as disclosures that point toward invention. Ashland Oil, Inc. v. Delta Resins & Refractories, Inc., 776 F.2d 281, 296 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/439,655

Art Unit: 1713

Relative to Liedermooy et al----As to the terpene phenolic tackifier and ethylene-vinyl acetate copolymer in terms of copolymer content and vinyl acetate content, Counsel is cordially directed to the Abstract, the paragraph bridging cols. 1-2, col. 2, lines 52-61, Table I and the claims.

7. Applicant's arguments, see paper no. 19, filed 11/14/03, with respect to the rejections under 35 USC 102(b)/103(a) over Mehaffy et al and Bodouroglou et al, have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejections have been withdrawn.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Judy M. Reddick whose telephone number is (703)308-4346. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6:30 a.m.-3:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (703)308-2450. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-8183.

Judy M. Redduck Judy M. Reddick Primary Examiner Art Unit 1713

JMR Jml 11.26.03